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Background
An antique dealer recently opened a shop. The store is open to the public on Tuesdays through Sundays from 10am to 6pm. Direct mail (unsolicited flyers and informational brochures delivered via U.S. post) is the primary advertising outlet. Direct mailings are sent out every Wednesday.

The Task
Use regression to determine if sales are related to the direct mail campaign. If they are related, determine the nature of the relationship.

The Data  Direct Mail.jmp

This is a weekly time-series using all available data since the opening of the dealership. The variables in the data set are Week and:

Sales  Weekly (Tuesday through Sunday) total revenues in $1,000
Direct Mail  Weekly direct mail costs, including supplies and postage, in dollars

Analysis
The average level of sales over the first 19 weeks was around $42,730 with a typical fluctuation of $18,500 (see Exhibit 1). Direct mail costs averaged roughly $420 per week, although there was a lot of variability in direct mail expenditures from week to week, with a typical fluctuation of $208.

Exhibit 1  Distribution of Sales and Direct Mail

(Analyze > Distribution; Select Sales and Direct Mail as Y, Columns, and click OK. For a horizontal layout select Stack under the top red triangle.)
As shown in Exhibit 2, sales grew initially, but may be leveling off.

**Exhibit 2  Time Series Plots of Sales**

(Graph > Graph Builder; drag and drop Sales in Y, Week in X. Click the smoother icon to remove the smooth line. Then, hold the Shift key and click the line icon at the top. Or, right-click in the graph, and select Smoother > Change to > Line.)

To examine the relationship between direct mail expenditures and sales, we construct a regression model (Exhibit 3). We display the overall mean Sales (the horizontal line) and fit confidence bands for the predicted mean Sales (the curved dashed lines) to help us interpret the output.

**Exhibit 3  Regression with Sales (Y) and Direct Mail (X)**

(Analyze >, Fit Y by X. Use Sales as Y, Response and Direct Mail as X, Factor. Under the red triangle select Fit Line.

To explore the significance of the fitted line, select Fit Mean under the top red triangle, and select Confid Curves Fit under the red triangle next to Linear Fit to plot confidence bands.)
Exhibit 3 demonstrates that although 6.3% of the variability in sales is attributed to variation in direct mail costs (RSquare = 0.063), direct mail does not have a statistically significant influence on sales, since

- the p-value for Direct Mail (Prob > |t|) is 0.2983, and
- the mean line is contained within the 95% confidence bands, indicating that our model predicts no better than the overall mean Sales.

Our advice to this small business owner is to stop all direct mail activities immediately, since this advertising expense is not affecting the bottom line.

Wait!

Look again, more closely, at the time sequencing of the relationship between sales and direct mail. According to the business owner, mailings are posted on Wednesdays of each week. With the lag in delivery time, most mail won’t arrive until the weekend. Is it possible that customers don’t respond to this week’s advertisements until next week? If so, our current model doesn’t capture this time lag. To see this, look at the partial listing of the data set shown in Exhibit 4.

### Exhibit 4  Partial View of Data Set

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week</th>
<th>Sales</th>
<th>Direct Mail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>12.123</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>21.209</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>9.968</td>
<td>561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>42.978</td>
<td>413</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The data set as constructed shows us the relationship between direct mail in any given week and sales in that same week, since a row in the data set corresponds to each week’s figures. Suppose, though, that the statistical relationship is one in which this week’s sales is related to last week’s direct mail activities. We would then need to rearrange the data set so that a row in the data set displays the current week’s sales and the prior week’s direct mail. Such a rearrangement involves lagging a time-series variable. (Exhibit 5)

### Exhibit 5  Creating Direct Mail Lagged

(Create a new column, and rename the column Direct Mail Lagged. Right click on the column header, and select Formula to open the Formula Editor. To create the formula: 1. From Functions (grouped) select Row, Lag , 2. Select Direct Mail from the columns list., 3. Click OK.)
The resulting variable is shown in Exhibit 6.

**Exhibit 6  Data Table with Direct Mail Lagged**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week</th>
<th>Sales</th>
<th>Direct Mail</th>
<th>Direct Mail Lagged</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>12.123</td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>21.209</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>9.988</td>
<td>561</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>42.978</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>561</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lagging a time-series variable involves nothing more than moving it down one row. Note that the first observation (Week 1) for Direct Mail Lagged is missing, since there is no observation prior to the one at the beginning of the time-series.

In Exhibit 7 we see the regression results using last week’s direct mail as the predictor variable.

**Exhibit 7  Regression with Sales (Y) and Direct Mail Lagged (X)**
From Exhibit 7, we conclude that:

- There is a statistically significant relationship between last week’s direct mail and this week’s sales (the p-value is 0.0037, and the mean line has crossed the 95% confidence bands.)
- The model estimates that each additional dollar in direct mail costs is related to roughly a $53 increase in next week’s sales. (Recall that direct mail is measured in dollars and sales in measured in $1,000.)
- However, only about 42% of the variability in sales is related to variability in prior week’s direct mail activities (RSquare = 0.4182), and there is still a lot of unexplained variation in weekly sales figures (RMSE = 13.702, or $13,702).

Consider yourself lucky if you find that a response variable can be modeled with lagged predictors. In such instances, forecasting future values of the response variable is straightforward. For example, our regression equation here is:

\[
\text{Sales} = 22.09 + 0.053 \times \text{Direct Mail Lagged}
\]

This equation says that to forecast sales in any one week, plug the value for direct mail expenditures from last week into the equation. Suppose that we are now in week 20 (recall that the data set ended in week 19). What is our forecast for sales in week 20? Since 376 is the value shown in the data set for direct mail in week 19:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Sales (in week 20)} &= 22.09 + 0.053 \times \text{Direct Mail (in week 19)} \\
&= 22.09 + 0.053 \times 376 \\
&= 42.018 \text{ (or $42,018)}
\end{align*}
\]

We can use 95% prediction intervals to estimate the interval within which week 20 sales will fall. Prediction intervals are displayed as dashed lines in Exhibit 8. The prediction interval for Week 20 Sales is roughly 12 – 72 (or, $12K - $72K).

**Exhibit 8** Prediction Intervals for Sales

(Select Confid Curves Indiv under the red triangle next to Linear Fit to plot prediction intervals.

To add a vertical reference line double-click on the axis for Direct Mail Lagged, type the value for the reference line and click Add.

Blue reference lines were drawn manually for illustration.)
In Exhibit 9 we see that our forecast of $42,000 for sales in week 20 is not very precise, since the prediction interval is $12,062 to $71,832. Although we have developed a statistically significant model, there is too much unexplained variation in sales and the current model’s value as a forecasting tool is limited.

Can we build a better model with the existing data? We will explore this question in an exercise.
Summary

Statistical Insights

In addition to allowing you further practice in interpreting regression displays, this case reveals three important statistical issues:

1. Look for time-lagged relationships between variables in time-series regression models. Here, we discovered a one-period lagged relationship, although in other applications you might want to look for higher-order lags. For instance, a two-period lag would model the current response variable against the predictor variable lagged twice.

2. Knowing something about the organizational behavior (i.e., that direct mail, posted on Wednesdays, might have a lagged effect on sales) allowed us to discover an important statistical relationship in the data that might have otherwise been overlooked. Good statistical analysis rarely occurs in a vacuum.

3. Check your prediction intervals to assess how precisely your model predicts. Just because a model fits the data well doesn’t mean that it forecasts well, or that the model is of much practical use. We might be able to do a better job had we been given additional predictor variables with which to forecast sales, a methodology called multiple regression (forthcoming). Alternatively, other univariate time-series methods, like ARIMA, might have done a better job forecasting these data.

Managerial Implications

The return on direct mail is very high: almost $53 to $1. Expect a time lag, though, between mailings and sales. Re-evaluate the effectiveness of direct mail in the next few months, since it’s unlikely that such phenomenal returns on advertising will be experienced forever. The relationship between direct mail activities and sales will likely change over time as the store becomes an established business entity.

JMP Features and Hints

JMP will calculate and display both prediction intervals and confidence intervals. It’s your job, as the analyst, to know which interval to apply.

1. Prediction Intervals (used for forecasts of individual values)
   - To graphically display the 95% prediction bands in Fit Y by X, use Confid Curves Indiv.
   - To save values for the 95% prediction intervals to the data table, use Fit Model. Save the columns using Indiv Confidence Interval.

2. Confidence Intervals (used for forecasts of average values of Y)
   - To graphically display the 95% confidence bands, use Confid Curves Fit.
   - To save values for 95% confidence intervals to the data table, use Fit Model. Save the columns using Mean Confidence Interval.

Remember: “Individual” for prediction intervals; “Mean” or “Fit” for confidence intervals.
Exercise

Determine whether a two-period lag for Direct Mail would model Sales better than the one-period lag model.

1. First, create a variable Direct Mail Lagged 2.
2. Then, fit a regression model for Sales and Direct Mail Lagged 2.
3. Compare the p-values, RSquare, RMSE, the predicted value, and the prediction interval.
4. Which, of the two, is the better model?
5. Why would it be unwise to consider higher-order lags, say, a 10-week lag for Direct Mail?